



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,262	10/15/2001	Harold G. Simpson	P1098US34	4568

7590

06/02/2004

Bill D. McCarthy
Fellers, Snider, Blankenship, Bailey & Tippens, P.C.
100 North Broadway, Suite 1700
Bank One Tower
Oklahoma City, OK 73102-8820

EXAMINER

SLACK, NAKO N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3635

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

o

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/978,262	Applicant(s) SIMPSON, HAROLD G.	
	Examiner Naoko Slack	Art Unit 3635	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-21 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

In response to applicant's Amendment received February 10, 2004, claims 1-15, 17-21 are allowed. Claim 16 is rejected and new claim 22 restricted by original presentation. Cancellation of claims 16 and 22 and corrections to the specification and drawings as noted below would render this case in condition for allowance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 4, line 22, "newly10" should be - - newly - -;

On page 6, line 18, "3 and 4" should be - - 1 and 2 - -;

On page 8, line 1, "14" should be - - 15 - -;

On page 17, line 15, "72" should be - - 74 - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "a third radiused portion 98" as described in the specification on page 19, line 20. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d).

In Figure 17, the line for numeral 56 should be drawn to the outer female portion, not the inner male portion, as disclosed on page 21, line 14 of the specification.

In Figure 26, numeral "56F" should be - - 36F - -, the male sidelap portion as disclosed on page 22, line 21 of the specification.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent 5,038,543 to Neyer.

Claim 16:

Neyer discloses an improved standing seam roof assembly comprising the combination of a female/male interlock seam assembly with sidelap shear capacity, sidelap shear capacity being a resistance to sliding, provided by steps for forming a standing seam assembly with sidelap shear capacity (column 8, lines 8-15) and further comprising a sealant which increases the sidelap shear capacity of the standing seam assembly (column 9, lines 48-57).

While applicant argues that the steps for forming a standing seam assembly with sidelap shear capacity include such steps as forming a fifth leg portion with a tang and pressing a downwardly angled leg with hook portion into mating contact with the tang, and folding the mated leg and tang into adjacency with the fifth leg portion (page 17, lines 1-9), these specific steps have not been stated in claim 16.

Election/Restrictions

Claim 22:

Newly submitted claim 22 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claim 22 does not include a first panel having a female sidelap portion with a downwardly angled leg and hook portion which presses in mating contact with the tang of an adjacent panel and which further folds the mated leg with hook and tang in adjacency with the fifth leg portion of the adjacent panel (Figure 6, Species B); instead, claim 22 cites a first panel with a downwardly angled leg portion without a hook portion, a second panel with a tang, wherein the angled leg and tang are pressed in mating contact and further upset crimped to increase frictional retention forces (Figure 22, Species I).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 22 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-15 and 17-21 are allowed.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naoko Slack whose telephone number is (703) 305-0315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (6:00 am-2:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Naoko Slack
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3635

NS

May 26, 2004